

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/960,118	09/21/2001	Steven R. Pearson	BEA920010027US1	BEA920010027US1 5751		
49056	7590 06/08/2006		EXAMINER			
LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC			FILIPCZYK,	FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R		
	REEK LANE BURG, MD 20878	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2163			
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/960,118	PEARSON, STEVEN R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marc R. Filipczyk	2163		

	ware R. Filipezyk	2103	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)		,	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in .	57 CFR 41.57(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	•		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		iii be errereu anu arre	жріапацоп от
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		•	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:	Dorstons	<b>₹</b>	
	DONWONG	*****	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amended claims of "compiling... by merging" and "processing... solely on comparison between status identifiers" would require further consideration and/or search.